

We had: Fiat-Shamir design with (l, n, d) , $m = 2^{d/10}$, $t = 2^d$ avg-hard
 then $G(U_e) (2^{2d}/10, 1/10)$ - pseudorandom.

Let us give values. $l, S = h_{avg}(t)$ given.

pick n largest st $l > \frac{100n^2}{\log S(n)} \Rightarrow n \geq \sqrt{l \log S(n) / 200}$

$d = \log S(n) / 10$.

output first $S(n)^{1/40}$ bits of NW generator.

obs map l bits into $S(n)^{1/40}$, so $S'(e) = S(n)^{1/40} = S(\sqrt{\quad})^{1/40}$.

Pseudorandom Objects.

Expander graphs: graphs with excellent connectivity.

Almost as good as $K_{n,p}$, but small degree.

Two ways of measuring: algebraic / combinatorial.

We'll work with d -regular graphs, undirected.

Combinatorial Expansion.

G well-connected if every set of vertices hard to disconnect,

i.e. many edges go from S to $\bar{S} = V \setminus S$.

If $\deg G = d$, best can hope for is $|E(S, \bar{S})| = d \cdot |S|$.

Edge expansion measures how far we are:

$$h_S = \frac{|E(S, \bar{S})|}{d \cdot |S|} \quad h_G = \min_{\substack{S \subseteq V \\ |S| \leq n/2}} h_S$$

Can also measure vertex expansion: $\frac{|N(S)|}{d \cdot |S|}$.

Algebraic Expansion.

G well-connected if a random walk converges quickly

to uniform. Represent with linear algebra:

If start at v , then in one step we are in each

neighbour of v w. pr. $1/d$.

Obs equiv to $(\frac{1}{d} \cdot A) \cdot \mathbb{1}_v$ $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \uparrow \text{1 in row of } v, 0 \text{ elsewhere} \\ \uparrow \text{adj. matrix.} \end{array} \right.$

A distribution over vertices is simply a vector of probabilities.

One step of random walk is then mult. by (A/d) .

From now on redefine $A = A/d$.

Play w. eigenvalues of A .

$A \cdot \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1} \Rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ eigenv. of eigenvalue 1.

Cond this is largest: $\lambda_1 = \max_x \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x}$.

What about 2nd? \rightarrow (in absolute value)

If G disconnected, then λ_2 is also evect w. eval 1

If G bipartite, then $A \mathbb{1}_S = c \mathbb{1}_{\bar{S}} \Rightarrow c_1 \mathbb{1}_S + c_2 \mathbb{1}_{\bar{S}}$

evect w. eval -1.

Other dir. also true: if $\lambda = |\lambda| = 1$ then G bipartite or

disconnected.

In fact spectral expansion = $1 - \lambda$ measures how connected

graph is.

Can also compute λ as $\max_{x \perp \mathbb{1}} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x}$. (Rayleigh)

prop $\|v\|_1 = 1 \Rightarrow \|A^k v - \mathbb{1}/n\| \leq \lambda^k$

proof: write $v = \alpha \cdot \mathbb{1} + w$, $w \perp \mathbb{1}$.

obs $1 = \|v\|_1 = \langle v, \mathbb{1} \rangle = \alpha \langle \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1} \rangle + \langle w, \mathbb{1} \rangle = \alpha \cdot n$

obs $A v = \alpha \mathbb{1} + A w \Rightarrow A^k v = \alpha \mathbb{1} + A^k w$

$\Rightarrow \|A^k v - \mathbb{1}/n\| = \|A^k w\| \leq \lambda^k \|w\|$

\uparrow Rayleigh.

if $A = J$, where $J_{ij} = 1/n$, matrix of $K_{n,n}$. then $J v = \mathbb{1}/n$. $\forall v$.

lemma $A = (1-\delta)J + \delta C$, $\|C\| \leq 1$

proof: $C = \frac{1}{\delta} \cdot (A - (1-\delta) \cdot J)$.

th. Spectral & combinatorial expansion equivalent.

th (formal) 1. $h(G) \geq \frac{1-\lambda}{2}$

2. $\lambda(G) \leq 1 - \min(\frac{2}{d}, \frac{h^2}{2})$

* 2 is assuming G has (all) self-loops,

i.e. A is $A + I$.

prop If G random graph $\Rightarrow h(G) = \epsilon d$.

th Explicit graphs with $\lambda \leq 1 - \epsilon$ exist.

\uparrow \exists algo st given v , outputs $N(v)$ in poly time.

Error Reduction with little randomness.

Recall can reduce BPP error by repeating algo k times

(and using $m \cdot k$ coins).

th: $m + O(k)$ coins enough.

proof: Let G graph on 2^m vertices, deg. d , $\lambda < 9/10$

Let v_1, \dots, v_k seq. obtained by picking v_1 at random,

and v_i a neighbour of v_{i-1} at random.

Run algo k times with random string v_i .

Output majority answer.

We'll show RP case ($x \in L \Rightarrow \Pr[\text{M} = 1] \geq 2/3$)

$x \notin L \Rightarrow \Pr[\text{M} = 1] \leq 1/3$).

claim. $G(n, d, \delta)$ -expander. $U \subseteq V$, $\beta = |U|/n$.

then $\Pr[v_1, \dots, v_k \in U] \leq ((1-\delta) \cdot \sqrt{\beta + \lambda})^{k-1}$.

dis th follows: we have $\lambda < 9/10$, $\beta = 1/3$.

(U is set of bad coins).

proof of claim.

let $B_i = \{v_i \in U\}$. let $p_i = \Pr[\bigcap_{j=1}^i B_j]$.

let $D_i =$ distr. of v_i conditioned on B_1, \dots, B_i

let $B = \text{distr}(\mathbb{1}_U)$.

can write $D_1 = \frac{1}{p_1} \cdot B \mathbb{1}_n / d$, $D_2 = \frac{1}{p_2} \cdot B \cdot A \cdot B \mathbb{1}_n / d$, etc.

$\|D_k\|_1 = 1 \Rightarrow p_k = \| (BA)^{k-1} B \mathbb{1}_n / d \|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \cdot \|u - v\|_2$.

claim. $\|u - v\|_2 \leq ((1-\delta) \cdot \sqrt{\beta + \lambda})^{k-1} / \sqrt{n}$.

proof: write $A = (1-\delta)J + \delta C$.

$\|BA\| \leq (1-\delta)\|BJ\| + \delta \|BC\|$

$\|BJ\| = \max_{\|u\|=1} \|B J u\|$. $J v = \mathbb{1}/n \Rightarrow \|BJ\| = \|B \mathbb{1}_n / n\| = \sqrt{\beta}$

$\|BC\| \leq \|B\| \|C\| \leq 1$.

$\|(BA)^{k-1} B \mathbb{1}_n / d\|_2 \leq ((1-\delta)\sqrt{\beta + \lambda})^{k-1} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n}$.