

def Pseudorandom Generator. \mathcal{R} distr. over $\{0,1\}^m$.

is (S, ϵ) -pseudor. if \forall ckt $C, |C| \leq S,$

$$\left| \Pr_{r \in \mathcal{R}} [C(r) = 1] - \Pr_{r \in U} [C(r) = 1] \right| < \epsilon.$$

$G: \{0,1\}^k \rightarrow \{0,1\}^l$ exp-time computable is $S(n)$ -PRG

if $|G(z)| = S(|z|)$ for every seed z and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$

$G(U_k)$ is $(S(\ell)^3, 1/10)$ -pseudor.

def. Unpredictable Function. \mathcal{R} distr. over $\{0,1\}^m$

is (S, ϵ) -unpred. if \forall ckt $C, |C| \leq S, \forall i \in [m]$

$$\Pr_{r \in \mathcal{R}} [C(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}) = r_i] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{m}.$$

obs \mathcal{R} pseud. \Rightarrow unpred. (just run same C).

th \mathcal{R} $(2S, \epsilon)$ -unpred $\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ (S, ϵ) pseud. [Gol09

ex 8.20]

proof. assume \mathcal{R} not pseudor. assume wlog

$$\Pr_{\mathcal{R}} [] - \Pr_U [] > \epsilon \text{ for some ckt } C.$$

consider "hybrid distributions" \mathcal{R}_i , where we pick first

i bits from \mathcal{R} and last $m-i$ from U .

then we must have some i st

$$\Pr_{\mathcal{R}_i} [] - \Pr_{\mathcal{R}_{i-1}} [] > \epsilon/m.$$

$$\text{ie: } \Pr [C(r_1, \dots, r_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_m)] - \Pr [C(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, u_i, \dots, u_m)] > \epsilon/m.$$

fix u_{i+1}, \dots, u_m st this happens.

on input r_1, \dots, r_{i-1} , have D eval $C(-0)$ and $C(-1)$.

output whichever makes C larger.

How to build PRGs?

Can do from avg-hard functions.

def $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}, p \in [0,1]$.

$$H_{\text{avg}}^C(f) = \max_S \text{st } \forall C \text{ ckt, } |C| \leq S, \Pr[f(G) = C(G)] < p.$$

$$H_{\text{avg}}(f) = \max_S \text{st } H_{\text{avg}}^{1/2 + 1/S}(f) \geq S.$$

low: $H_{\text{avg}} = \max_S \text{st } \forall C, |C| \leq S, \Pr[f(G) = C(G)] < 1/2 + 1/S$

def looks a bit weird, it's crafted so that it works

with PRGs.

it is possible to transform a worst-case hard function

into an avg-case hard function.

$$\downarrow \Pr[f=C] < 1$$

th (avg-hard \Rightarrow PRG).

If $\exists f_n \in \text{DTIME}(2^{O(n)})$ st $H_{\text{avg}}(f_n) \geq S_n$ & n

Then a $S(\delta \ell)^{\delta}$ PRG exists for some $\delta > 0$.

we'll prove weaker version $S(n)^{\delta}$ where $n \geq \delta \cdot \sqrt{\ell \cdot \log S(n)}$.

First of all let us see how to obtain 1 extra bit.

prop. If $\exists f_n \in \mathbb{E}$ st $H_{\text{avg}}(f_n) \geq n^4$

then a $\ell+1$ PRG exists.

proof. Enough to build unpredictable function.

We'll do $G(z) = z \cdot f(z)$.

Bits 1.. ℓ unit random \Rightarrow unpredictable.

Assume last bit predictable, i.e.

$$\exists C, |C| \leq 2^{\ell} \text{ st } \Pr [C(z) = f(z)] > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{10 \cdot \ell!}$$

This contradicts $H_{\text{avg}}(f_n) \geq n^4$.

Let us now do 2 extra bits.

prop. If $\exists f_n \in \mathbb{E}$ st $H_{\text{avg}}(f_n) \geq n^4$

then a $\ell+2$ PRG exists.

proof. $G(z) = z \cdot f(z_1, \dots, z_{\ell/2}) \cdot f(z_{\ell/2+1}, \dots, z_n)$.

Bits 1.. $\ell+1$ ok.

Bit $\ell+2$ a bit tricky: C receives as input some info

that it could not compute on its own. Could that help?

Intuitively no: info gained from $\ell+1$ -th bit only

applies to first half of z , while $\ell+2$ -th bit depends

on second half, which is indep.

To do more bits we'll have to deal with bits for

which we know partial information.

We will do $G(z) = f(z_{I_1}) \cdot f(z_{I_2}) \cdot \dots \cdot f(z_{I_m})$

where $\{I_i\}$ family of subsets of $[n]$, $|I_i| = n$, and

$|I_i \cap I_j| \leq d$ (for some d).

Such families are called (ℓ, n, d) -designs, and can be

constructed efficiently.

lemma: if $n > d, \ell > 10n^2/d$, then can build a design of

size $2^{d/10}$ in time $2^{O(\ell)}$.

Assuming lemma we prove G is a PRG.

lemma: if $\{I_i\}$ (ℓ, n, d) -design, $|I_i| = 2^{d/10}$,

and $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is 2^{2d} -avg-hard then

$G(z)$ is $(2^{d/10}, 1/10)$ -pseudorandom.

proof. as usual, show any ckt of size $2^{2d}/10$ cannot guess

i -th-bit with more than $\frac{1}{10 \cdot 2^{d/10}}$ advantage.

proof by contradiction.

$$\text{assume } \Pr [C(f(z_{I_1}), \dots, f(z_{I_{i-1}})) = f(z_{I_i})] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{10 \cdot 2^{d/10}}.$$

problem: z_{I_i} are not indep. random vars.

sample z as two indep. random vars: $z_+ = z_{I_+}, z_- = z_{I_-}$.

$$\Pr_{z_+, z_-} [w(z_+, z_-) = f(z_+)] \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

by averaging, $\exists z_-^*$ evaluation of z_- st

$$\Pr_{z_+} [z_- = z_-^*] \geq \Pr [].$$

now because $|z_{I_j} \cap z_{I_i}| \leq d$, we have that after fixing

z_-^* all of the $f(z_{I_j})$ have at most d vars left.

Hence can compute $f(z_{I_j})$ with a ckt of size 2^d .

and we got a ckt  of size $< 2^{2d}$

that guesses $f(z_+)$ w/pr $\geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{10 \cdot 2^{d/10}}$ \parallel

Pick appropriate values to finish proof of thm.

Finally, prove design lemma.

lemma: if $n > d, \ell > 10n^2/d$, then can build a design of

size $2^{d/10}$ in time $2^{O(\ell)}$.

proof: greedy algorithm. pick first subset of $[n]$ of size n

st $|I_i \cap I_j| < d$. $\forall j$ picked so far.

time $\text{poly}(m) \cdot 2^d$ ok.