

Today's thm: $PH \subseteq P^{\#SAT}$

Recall plan: 1. show $\forall c \exists f$ st $F \in \Sigma^c$ formula then
 F true $\Rightarrow f(F) \in \#SAT$ w.pr $1 - 2^{-m}$
 false \Rightarrow 2^{-m}
 2. derandomize algo.

We saw base case of 1. for Σ^1 formulas.

Obs $\#P$ is closed under complementation (use $+1$).

Hence Π^1 formulas also OK.

Induction step. Assume $F \in \Sigma^c$ formula:

$\exists \vec{x}_1 G(\vec{x}_1)$ where $G(\vec{x}_1) \in \Pi^{c-1}$ and by induction
 can build $f(G(\vec{x}_1))$.

Recall proof of VV thm:

F SAT $\Rightarrow f(F) \in \#SAT$ w.pr $\geq 1/8n$

F UNSAT \Rightarrow $= 0$.

All we did was attach a hash function (encoded in CNF).

Therefore we can use the same idea and have

$\exists \vec{x}_1$ st $f(G(\vec{x}_1)) \in \#SAT \Rightarrow f(G(\vec{x}_1)) \wedge h(\vec{x}_1) = 0 \in \#SAT$ w.pr $\geq 1/8n$
 $\nexists \vec{x}_1 \Rightarrow 0$.

And also use the same error reduction technique to obtain a single formula

$H = H_1 \vee H_2 \vee \dots \vee H_s$ // recall special v :
 $F \wedge G = (F+1) \cdot (G+1) + 1$.

st $f(G(\vec{x}_1))$ SAT $\Leftrightarrow H \in \#SAT$ w.pr $\geq 1 - 2^{-m}$
 UNSAT

obs we really wanted $G(\vec{x}_1)$ SAT \Rightarrow ...

but we can assume all $f(G(\vec{x}_1))$ are equiv to $G(\vec{x}_1)$
 except w. prob. $5 \cdot 2^{-m}$.

By taking small enough error from induction step we're good.

Step 1 ✓. Now do step 2: derandomize.

lemma: \exists deterministic poly-time $\xrightarrow{\text{in } (|F|, \ell)}$ reduction $F \mapsto G$ st
 $F \in \#SAT \Rightarrow \#G \equiv -1 \pmod{2^\ell}$, $\ell = 2^i$.
 $\notin \Rightarrow 0$.

proof: induction. $\ell=1$ trivial by hyp.

o/w. consider $G = 4F^3 + 3F^4$.

say $x = \alpha + k \cdot 2^\ell$.

$$4x^3 + 3x^4 = 4[\alpha^3 + 3\alpha^2 k 2^\ell + \dots] + 3[\alpha^4 + 4\alpha^3 k 2^\ell + \dots] = 4\alpha^3 + 3\alpha^4 + 12\alpha^2 k 2^\ell [\alpha^2 + \alpha^3].$$

$\alpha = -1 \rightarrow -1$

$\alpha = 0 \rightarrow 0$.

proof of step 2.

Let f be rand. red from step 1, g red from lemma.

Consider $\sum_{r \in \{0,1\}^{\ell-1}} \#g(f(F,r)) \pmod{2^\ell}$.
 (pick ℓ smallest power of 2 st $\ell-1 \leq \text{pad } r$).

assume F true. then $g(f(1))$ is -1 w.pr. $\geq 1 - 2^{-m}$.
 hence $\Sigma \in [-2^{\ell-1}, -2^{\ell-1} \cdot (1 - 2^{-m})]$

F false then $0 \Rightarrow$.
 hence $\Sigma \in [0, -2^{\ell-1} \cdot 2^{-m}]$.

hence we only need to distinguish $\Sigma \in \dots$
 can we do that with a call to $\#SAT$?

yes: write $g(f(F,r))$ as ckt, with inputs γ, r .

convert into CNF $G(\gamma, r, z)$, where z are aux
 vars uniquely def. by γ, r , and st $G(\gamma, r, z)$ true
 iff γ satisfies $g(f(F,r))$.

then $\#G = \sum_r \#g(C)$ as we wanted.

algorithm: build G .
 query oracle for $\#G$.
 answer true iff answer is $\leq \epsilon \pmod{2^\ell}$.

this completes proof of Toda's thm. ✖

Next we explore ideas of derandomization further.

Derandomization

Goal: transform algorithms from BPP into P.

Obs if algo only uses polylog many random bits,
 then can make it deterministic.

We'll need pseudorandom generator that can "amplify"
 randomness from polylog to poly, give that to BPP
 algorithm, and make sure algo does not notice.

def Pseudorandom Generator. \mathcal{R} distr. over $\{0,1\}^m$.

is (S, ϵ) -pseudor. iff \nexists ckt C ; $|C| \in S$,

$$\left| \Pr_{r \in \mathcal{R}} [C(r) = 1] - \Pr_{r \in U} [C(r) = 1] \right| < \epsilon.$$

$G: \{0,1\}^k \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$ exp-time computable is $S(n)$ -PRG
 if $|G(z)| = S(|z|)$ for every seed z and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$

$G(U_\ell)$ is $(S(\ell), 1/10)$ -pseudor.

Let us see how to use PRG to derandomize.

lem. if z $S(n)$ -PRG exists, then for every $\ell(n)$

$$BPTIME(S(\ell(n))) \subseteq DTIME(2^{O(\ell(n))})$$

// assuming $\exists \ell$ poly-time computable; \geq linear.

proof. $L \in BPTIME(\cdot)$. \exists TM M w/error $\leq 1/3$

algo: for each seed $z \in \{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$:
 run $M(x, G(z))$.
 answer majority.

claim: for large enough n , algo is correct.

assume not. then \exists seq x_n st $\Pr_z [M(x_n, G(z)) \neq L(x_n)] \geq 1/2$.

use to build ckt that distinguishes $G(U_{\ell(n)})$ and $U_{S(\ell(n))}$.

$C(r) = A(x_n, r)$ obs A runs in time $S(\ell)$,
 hence $|C|$ is $\leq |S(\ell)|$

also want $n \in S(\ell(n))$. ✖