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## What is space?



Usually: maximal space.
[Alwen, Serbinenko '15]: aggregate space over computation (cumulative space).

## Resolution

## Setup

Prove CNF formula unsatisfiable.
Present proof on board.

- Write down axiom clauses
- Infer new clauses
$C \vee x \quad D \vee \bar{x}$
$C \vee D$
- Erase clauses to save space

$$
F=\{x, \bar{x} \vee y, \bar{y}\}
$$

Goal: derive empty clause $\perp$
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## Resolution

## Setup

Prove CNF formula unsatisfiable.
Present proof on board.

- Write down axiom clauses
- Infer new clauses
$C \vee x \quad D \vee \bar{x}$ $C \vee D$
- Erase clauses to save space

$$
F=\{x, \bar{x} \vee y, \bar{y}\}
$$

Goal: derive empty clause $\perp$

## Questions

- How much time will this take? (Length)
- How large is the blackboard? (Space)


## Space

[Esteban, Torán '99]
[Alekhnovich, Ben Sasson, Razborov, Wigderson '00]

| $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\chi$ | $\bar{x} \vee y$ | $y$ | $y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bar{x} \vee y$ | $\bar{x} \vee y$ | $\bar{x} \vee y$ | $y$ | $\bar{y}$ | $\bar{y}$ |
| $y$ | $y$ |  |  | $\perp$ |  |  |

$$
\left|\mathrm{C}_{1}\right|=1\left|\mathrm{C}_{2}\right|=2\left|\mathrm{C}_{3}\right|=3\left|\mathrm{C}_{4}\right|=2\left|\mathrm{C}_{5}\right|=1\left|\mathrm{C}_{6}\right|=2\left|\mathrm{C}_{7}\right|=3
$$

Space of a proof: $\operatorname{Sp}(\pi):=\max _{t} \mid$ Clauses in $\mathrm{C}_{t} \mid=3$ Space of refuting a formula: $\operatorname{Sp}(F \vdash \perp):=\min _{\pi: F \vdash \perp} \operatorname{Sp}(\pi) \leq 3$
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Alternative measures: \# literals, \# bits
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## Bounds

Every formula $\mathrm{Sp}=\mathrm{O}(n)$
Exist formulas st $\mathrm{Sp}=\Omega(n)$
[Esteban, Torán '99], [Alekhnovich, Ben Sasson, Razborov, Wigderson '00]

Space vs length
Exist formulas st

- Exists proof with $\mathrm{Sp}=\mathrm{O}\left(n^{1 / 11}\right)$
- Exists proof with Len $=\mathrm{O}(n)$
- Every proof with $\mathrm{Sp}<n^{2 / 11}$ requires Len $=\exp n^{\Omega(1)}$
[Ben Sasson, Nordström '11]


## Cumulative Space

Aggregate space over whole proof.

| $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\chi$ | $\bar{x} \vee y$ | $y$ | $y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bar{x} \vee y$ | $\bar{x} \vee y$ <br> $y$ | $\bar{x} \vee y$ <br> $y$ | $y$ | $\bar{y}$ | $\bar{y}$ |
| $\perp$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\left|\mathbb{C}_{1}\right|=1\left|\mathbb{C}_{2}\right|=2\left|\mathbb{C}_{3}\right|=3\left|\mathbb{C}_{4}\right|=2\left|\mathbb{C}_{5}\right|=1\left|\mathbb{C}_{6}\right|=2\left|\mathbb{C}_{7}\right|=3
$$

Cumulative space of a proof: $\operatorname{CumSp}(\pi):=\sum_{t} \mid$ Clauses in $\mathbb{C}_{t} \mid=14$ Cumulative space of refuting a formula:
$\operatorname{CumSp}(F \vdash \perp):=\min _{\pi: F \vdash \perp} \operatorname{CumSp}(\pi) \leq 14$
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$\Rightarrow$ Most interesting if Len $=\mathrm{O}(n)$.
Every formula CumSp $\leq$ Len $^{2}$.

Reaching space $s$ needs $s / 2$ configurations of space $\geq s / 2$
$\Rightarrow$ Cumulative space $\Omega\left(s^{2}\right)$.
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Maximal space: $\mathrm{Sp}=\mathrm{O}$ (Len) not tight.
Every formula $\mathrm{Sp}=\mathrm{O}($ Len $/ \log$ Len $)$. [Hopcroft, Paul, Valiant '75]

## Theorem

Exist formulas with Len $=\mathrm{O}(n)$ and CumSp $=\Omega\left(n^{2}\right)$.

## Maximal vs Cumulative Space

Large space $\Leftrightarrow$ large cumulative space?
$\Rightarrow \quad$ Yes
Every formula CumSp $=\Omega\left(\mathrm{Sp}^{2}\right)$.

## Maximal vs Cumulative Space

Large space $\Leftrightarrow$ large cumulative space?
$\Rightarrow$ Yes
Every formula CumSp $=\Omega\left(\mathrm{Sp}^{2}\right)$.
$\Leftarrow$ No
Theorem
Exist formulas with $\mathrm{Sp}=\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ but $\mathrm{CumSp}=\Omega\left(n^{2} / \log n\right)$

## Length vs Cumulative Space

How often do we need maximum space in a trade-off?

Theorem [Ben Sasson, Nordström '11]
Exist formulas st for any $s=\mathrm{O}(\sqrt{n})$

- Exists proof with $\mathrm{Sp}=\mathrm{O}(s)$ and $\mathrm{Len}=\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2} / s^{2}\right)$
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## Length vs Cumulative Space

How often do we need maximum space in a trade-off?

## Theorem

Exist formulas st for any $s=\mathrm{O}(\sqrt{n})$

- Exists proof with $\mathrm{Sp}=\mathrm{O}(s)$ and Len $=\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2} / s^{2}\right)$
- Exists proof with $\mathrm{Sp}=\mathrm{O}(1)$
- Exists proof with Len $=\mathrm{O}(n)$
- Every proof in space $\mathrm{O}(s)$ needs $\mathrm{CumSp}=\Omega\left(n^{2} / s\right)$


## Corollary

- Every proof in space $\mathrm{O}(s)$ and length $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2} / s^{2}\right)$ needs $\Omega\left(n^{2} / s^{2}\right)$ configurations with space $\Omega(s)$
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## Parallel Inference

Previous results hold even allowing parallel inference.

Fully Parallel Resolution<br>Very powerful model: can prove any formula in 2 steps. Lower bounds with limited space.

## Techniques

Pebble games

- Simple computational model to measure space.
- Prove lower bounds in pebble game
- Translate to resolution


## Techniques

## Pebble games

- Simple computational model to measure space.
- Prove lower bounds in pebble game
- Translate to resolution


## Lemma

Resolution proof of $F(G)$ in length $L$, space $s$, cumulative space $c$. Then pebbling of $G$ in time $L$, space $s$, cumulative space $c$.

Even if parallel inference steps.

## Techniques

## Pebble games

- Simple computational model to measure space.
- Prove lower bounds in pebble game
- Translate to resolution


## Lemma

Resolution proof of $F(G)$ in length $L$, space $s$, cumulative space $c$. Then pebbling of $G$ in time $L$, space $s$, cumulative space $c$.

Even if parallel inference steps.

- [Alwen, Serbinenko '15]: Translate computation to black pebbling strategy.
- Proofs are non-deterministic: translate proof to black-white pebbling.
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Open problems

- Study cumulative space in other areas.
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## Thanks!

